

**Inside**

Creativity in the Classroom, Part 2: Creating a Culture.....	7
Effective Leaders Focus on Faculty Talents and Strengths.....	10

November 2015 • Vol 28 • No 6

## Five Strategies for Creating a High-Growth School

by Battelle for Kids

There's an old adage that to be the best, you have to learn from the best. This is also true in education. By mining the practices of high-performing districts and schools, we can improve learning opportunities for all students.

For more than a decade, Battelle for Kids has brought together nearly 100 urban, suburban, and rural Ohio school districts to collaborate and innovate around promising practices for student success through the *SOAR Learning & Leading Collaborative*—one of the largest school-improvement collaboratives in the country.

We have also gathered feedback from central office staff, principals, and teachers from high-growth buildings and districts in Ohio to help all educators learn what works to accelerate student learning. Five high-growth strategies emerged from our engagement with these districts.

### 1. Limit goals and/or initiatives to focus on student learning.

One of the most consistent characteristics of highly effective schools is their ability to focus on student learning and limit the number of initiatives they undertake. In interviews, surveys, and during presentations, high-growth schools and districts frequently cited increasing student growth and achievement as their number one goal. With so much reform across the state, and so many entities vying for their attention, these high-performing schools have stayed focused on their core mission, while at the same time remaining compliant with other external accountabilities. Leaders often talk about the importance of filtering out external noise and distractions so that teachers can maintain their focus on student learning. Collins (2001) refers to this process of staying focused and aligning resources to what you are passionate about as the “hedgehog” concept. Similarly, Reeves (2011) warns districts of “initiative fatigue,” and reiterates the importance of a clear focus and a limited number of strategic objectives (p. 14).

One of the practices that high-growth schools and districts use is what management guru Peter Drucker refers to as “planned abandonment” (Drucker, 1974). This is a process of regularly reviewing what the school or district is doing and eliminating those things that produce minimal return. “Good to Great” organizations not only have the discipline to do certain things well, they also have the discipline to stop doing what no longer works (Collins, 2001). High-performing schools create “not-to-do” lists, and are willing to at least temporarily suspend initiatives that are not directly contributing to improving student learning.

One principal talked about evaluating every practice in her school based upon its impact on student learning. Some examples of practices that schools or districts chose to abandon were:

- collecting or having teachers post lesson plans
- using particular educational software

Reprinted with permission by the author. © 2015 Battelle for Kids. In *Five Strategies for Creating a High-Growth School*, Battelle for Kids' *SOAR Learning and Leading Collaborative*, comprised of nearly 100 Ohio school districts, explores promising practices as a guide to educators across the country for moving education forward and helping all students succeed in college, career and life. A national nonprofit organization, Battelle for Kids is passionate about collaborating with educators and sharing lessons learned to move education forward. Visit BFK's Learning Hub at [www.bfk.org/learninghub](http://www.bfk.org/learninghub) for additional success stories, videos, and other free resources to create a student-centered learning environment that ensures the growth of all.

- exposing teachers to professional development that is unrelated to student outcomes
- allowing teachers to work in isolation

a focus on student learning, identified few goals, and aligned resources for professional learning and ongoing support to the pursuit of the identified goals.

---

## “...highly effective schools...focus on student learning and limit the number of initiatives they undertake.”

---

While technology, blended learning, and personalized learning are at the forefront of many discussions in improving education, high-growth districts

One district leader talked about abandoning professional development that was solely based on teacher interests (not needs) and proactively aligning all professional learning to the district’s student learning goals. Our conversations with practitioners consistently revealed that high-growth buildings and districts focus most of their attention on student learning, improving collaboration, and systematically reflecting on the connections between their teaching and what students are learning.

The specific programs and initiatives at each school varied, depending on student needs and the district’s progress on implementing the new state evaluation system or new standards. Some of the common focal points for several of our high-performing schools and districts were:

- vocabulary acquisition
- numeracy
- personalized learning
- Ohio Improvement Process
- literacy across the content areas.

But regardless of the theme, the buildings and districts maintained

never lose sight of the essential link between teachers and students, and the strategic alignment of resources and leadership to improve those connections. A principal from the only Ohio district to rank in the top 10 with performance index and value-added information for three years in a row told *SOAR* educators that his district does not equip classrooms with SMART Boards and that while technology may be fascinating to others, “pedagogy, high-quality instruction, and student learning” are what excite his district. Their secret to success is the discipline they employ to stay focused on high-quality instruction, student performance data, and the response they make when students do not master material.

### **2. Strategically leverage time and resources.**

When Odden and Archibald (2009) studied schools that had actually doubled their student performance data, they discovered these schools used time and resources differently than other schools. This absolutely squares with what we have learned from high-performing schools

and districts in Ohio. The question is: **How do great schools use time and other resources differently?**

Educators in buildings we studied have redesigned how they use time. Rather than viewing time as a never-ending challenge, they embrace the challenge of time as an opportunity to optimize their strengths and refine their focus. Their most important questions are: **What are our priorities? How could we use time differently to better reflect our priorities?**

Given their focus on these questions, master schedules often include:

- time for re-teaching in which no new concepts are introduced
- enrichment periods for stretching students who have already mastered material that was taught
- collaboration time for teachers to share practice, examine student work, and review and analyze data
- increased instructional time for reading and math
- collaboration time for teachers to improve their practice by developing their skills and enhancing their mindsets
- extra time for struggling students during and outside of the regular school day

The challenge with time is not just setting it aside to do new things. When time is provided, it must be used effectively. Examples of how districts have used their new time include:

- developing, implementing, and systematically reviewing formative and common/benchmark assessment data
- exploring how to differentiate instruction based on students’ needs

- ensuring Response to Intervention (RtI) model is implemented with fidelity
- implementing a systematic approach to monitor struggling students' performance and respond in a timely manner
- embedding professional learning for staff—aligned to and focused on the district's strategic objectives
- ensuring collaboration time for teachers is purposeful and focused

Effective teacher collaboration, or what Hargreaves and Fullan (2012) describe as high-performing teams, is an essential element in most of these schools. These kinds of teams are so important that even the effectiveness of highly effective teachers is reduced when they are placed on an average functioning team. Conversely, average teachers can become more effective by simply being placed on a high-performing team. As any great principal knows, establishing and supporting high-performing teams takes work, but in the words of one administrator, “the juice is worth the squeeze.” This hard work may include things like:

- developing and using protocols and structures
- finding time within or outside the school day to meet
- paying attention to each team's efficiency and effectiveness

Many high-performing districts are leveraging this understanding of high-performing teams through their implementation of teacher-based teams, building leadership teams, and district leadership teams—all of which are components of the Ohio

Improvement Process (OIP). One of the largest and most diverse districts in the state, a district frequently recognized for having high student growth, attributed its continuing success to faithfully implementing the OIP.

Another building from a different urban district credited its teacher-based teams for its success in improving instruction and student outcomes. A third school used its building leadership team to routinely monitor subgroups' learning progress within the school. A poor rural district, recognized for being one the most improved districts for student growth in the state, now uses its teacher-based teams, building leadership teams, and district leadership teams to review student data, make decisions, and enact policies that contribute to student academic growth.

Regardless of how schools redesign their use of time and other resources, the idea of establishing consistent, focused routines remains essential to success. An instructional coach from a large suburban district shared, “At the most basic level, classroom structure and routine gives our students a sense of security and helps them develop self-discipline. It is an ongoing process for teachers to establish structures and routines based on their students' academic needs. We are committed to the belief that structure and routine are essential

to our student population because different students have different needs, and the support that we are able to offer helps them become more independent and self-reflective in their own learning.”

**“Frequent and timely monitoring of student learning is an essential part of every high-growth district surveyed.”**

### **3. Develop a balanced assessment approach.**

Nearly every principal we interviewed from high-performing schools stressed the importance of focusing the attention of their teachers on formative instructional practices and developing and using short-cycle/common assessments. Frequent and timely monitoring of student learning is an essential part of every high-growth district we spoke to as part of this effort.

**“What gets measured gets improved.”** District leaders often share how their principals are regularly held accountable for updating the central office on the growth of struggling students and underperforming subgroups. In their research, Odden and Archibald (2009) found that nearly every school that doubled its performance data had implemented common or short-cycle assessments. As a part of these assessment systems, it is critical that teachers post and communicate learning targets, and teach standards in student-friendly language.

High-growth schools also focus on, allocate resources for, and embed formative instructional practices in daily instruction. A literature review of 250 empirical studies of

the connections between the curriculum, the standards, and how those concepts translate into student learning.

their instruction and better meet the needs of students.

---

## “Distributed leadership in districts and schools produce gains in student achievement in nearly every study.”

---

classroom assessment from more than 580 published articles shows conclusively that formative assessment improves learning (Black & Wiliam, 1998). No other education intervention has produced more consistent student learning gains than formative assessment, and the payoff is even greater for struggling students. Many *SOAR* high-performing districts emphasize the ongoing review of common assessments, curriculum, and student data. Districts recognize that entering cohorts of students often have very different knowledge profiles than previous cohorts. This fact alone necessitates the ongoing use of assessments to better understand where students are, what they know, and what they don't know.

Regardless of the assessments used, high-performing districts and schools report that their assessments drive instruction, intervention, and enrichment during the school year. A rigorous, balanced assessment system is the only way to understand

One urban high school with high value-added gains in English reported that its student success was linked to a focus on three key areas of instruction:

- clarity of learning targets
- close reading strategies
- continuous formative assessments

The principal shared that his school's regular use of formative assessment practices, which allows immediate and timely feedback to teachers and students, was the primary vehicle for their success.

An urban middle school principal also focused his staff's professional learning on formative instructional practices. In conjunction with this focus, he conducted frequent walk-throughs and identified similar strategies as vital contributors for their school-wide success. As a result of this work, his building's value-added composite ranking improved from 1,828<sup>th</sup> (Below Standard) to 348<sup>th</sup> (Above Standard) in the span of one year. Another leader from a rural district credited teacher-developed, short-cycle assessments as the key driver of her district's continuous improvement over the years and becoming a high-growth district. Teachers from this district use the data from these assessments to guide

Two of the most remarkable stories came from a poor, rural district and from an affluent, suburban district. The small, rural district moved from 500+ in value-added results to number three in the state. The district's assistant superintendent credited their success to the implementation of benchmark assessments for grades 3–8, intervention time for struggling students, and increasing instructional time in reading and math. The suburban district had lower than expected growth data for the 2010-2011 school year in one grade level in math. Instead of complaining about the data, the district systematically responded to it by unpacking the state standards again, rewriting learning targets with success criteria, and revising common assessments to align with the revised learning targets and success criteria for several subjects and grade levels throughout the district. The district also revised and modified instructional activities, and added more differentiation and spiraling of the content. Since then, the district has had three consecutive years of being among the highest in achievement and growth in the state.

### **4. Use multiple measures, including growth measures, to inform improvement and accountability.**

Nearly all high-performing districts understand the importance of multiple measures. One of the clearest differentiators between

high-growth districts and other districts in Ohio is the emphasis they place on using value-added information. While no one measure is powerful enough to capture everything that happens within a district, high-growth districts embrace value-added information as a key source for improvement. The key emphases is on information. The highest performing schools do not use value-added data for commendation, or to name, blame, or shame, but to uncover, discover, and recover. Value-added data allows teachers and leader to dig deeper and ask more questions about student learning.

High-performing districts also collect and analyze data from multiple sources, including year-end state tests, perception data from their various constituencies, and data from other districts against which they can benchmark their performance. Nearly every high-growth district has a process in place for reviewing incoming students' data as well as some type of system in place for collecting and examining student data at a district level.

For example, two of the highest-ranking districts in the state, with respect to value-added data, have monthly data collection processes that bring staff together to discuss student needs and student progress. As a result of these processes, district and building performance targets are constructed that give purpose to the ongoing monitoring of student progress. Teachers

participate in daily and weekly monitoring of informal data that drives daily teaching decisions.

Schools gather and use these kinds of data in many different ways.

There are examples of data dashboards and data displayed on classroom walls, hallways, and even district websites.

Districts have used perception or survey data to ensure the schools' current vision aligns with the community's values. These alignments are communicated through newsletters and websites. Most recently, many districts have begun creating Quality Profiles to communicate additional measures that are meaningful to the public that go beyond the State Report Card. Regardless of the measures, high-performing districts always pay attention to data, regardless of the story it communicates, to improve performance and strategically align resources to support that improvement.

### **5. Empower teachers and develop leaders.**

Creating and leading a high-growth school is difficult work especially when recent research from Gallup reveals that nearly 70 percent of the teacher workforce is not actively engaged in their work. Of the 14 occupations represented in the survey, teachers had the lowest response to the prompt: "My opinion seems to counts at work."

Teachers want to be part of the decision-making process in schools. By allowing teachers to help create the world in which they work, greater levels of engagement and ownership follow. Great leaders

---

**“High-growth schools also focus on, allocate resources for, and embed formative instructional practices in their daily instruction.”**

---

understand this. They routinely use their teaching staff to help them:

- set the vision
- inspire excellence
- adopt systems to monitor educator performance and student learning

Distributed leadership in districts and schools produce gains in student achievement in nearly every study (Odden & Archibald, 2009; Chenoweth & Theokas, 2011; Dufour & Marzano, 2011; Leithwood, Seashore Lewis, Anderson, & Wahlstrom, 2004; Wahlstrom, Seashore Louis, Leithwood, & Anderson, 2010). Organizational success accompanies organization-wide engagement. Leaders in high-growth districts empower, coach, and support their teachers. In addition, high-growth building and district leaders establish ambitious goals and hold high expectations for every staff member. These same leaders are never afraid of having courageous, pivotal conversations with their staff when there has been a loss of focus, forgotten commitments, or a

decrease in engagement. It takes courageous and committed leadership to empower and develop leaders across a school system. In discussions with high-performing buildings and districts, we had the

**“...by allowing teachers to help create the world in which they work, greater levels of engagement and ownership follow.”**

opportunity to witness firsthand strong leadership at the building and district levels.

### Conclusion

The best guides to best practices are those who have been successful. The five strategies outlined in this article have been mined from some of the highest-performing districts and schools across Ohio. We offer these promising practices as a guide to educators across the

country for moving education forward and helping all students succeed in college, career, and life.

### About the SOAR Learning and Leading Collaborative

SOAR is about learning and sharing what works to make schools better. For more than a decade, leaders in SOAR districts across

Ohio have been working together to stay ahead of the curve by innovating and collaborating; implementing high-growth practices with fidelity; influencing important educational issues to accelerate learning in Ohio; and impacting student learning by enhancing teacher, leader, and organizational effectiveness. Today, SOAR represents nearly 100 Ohio school districts and is a centerpiece of successful education reform on behalf of students. The way to SOAR to future success is by partnering with engaged, forward-thinking educators, leveraging collective talents and areas of expertise to increase student learning outcomes. To learn more, visit [bfk.org/SOAR](http://bfk.org/SOAR).

### References

Black, P. & Wiliam, D. (1998). *Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment*. London: GL Assessment.

Chenoweth, K. & Theokas, C. (2011). *Getting it done: Leading academic success in unexpected schools*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Collins, J. (2001). *Good to great: Why some companies make the leap—and others don't*. New York, NY: HarperCollins Publishers, Inc.

Drucker, P. (1974). *Management: Tasks, Responsibilities, Practices*. New York, NY: HarperCollins Publishers, Inc.

Dufour, R. & Marzano, R.J. (2011). *Leaders of learning: How district, school and classroom leaders improve student achievement*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.

Hargreaves, A. & Fullan, M. (2012). *Professional capital: Transforming teaching in every school*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Leithwood, K., Seashore Lewis, K., Anderson, S., & Wahlstrom, K. (2004). *How leadership influences student learning*. New York, NY: The Wallace Foundation.


Odden, R.A. & Archibald, S.J. (2009). *Doubling student performance...and finding the resources to do it*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Reeves, D. (2011). *Finding your leadership focus: Transforming professional learning into student results, K-12*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Wahlstrom, K.L., Seashore Louis, K., Leithwood, K., Anderson, S.E. (2010). *Investigating the links to improved student learning: Executive summary of research findings*. The Wallace Foundation.

### About Battelle for Kids

Battelle for Kids (BFK) is dedicated to moving education forward for students by supporting the educators who work with them every day. BFK collaborates with state departments of education, urban, suburban, and rural schools, and other education-focused organizations in more than 30 states. Learn more at [www.bfk.org](http://www.bfk.org).

 Bobby Moore, EdD, Battelle for Kids Senior Director, will present “Highly Effective Leadership and Five Strategies for Creating a High Growth School” at the TEPSA Fall Summit, November 5 in Grapevine. Visit [www.tepsa.org](http://www.tepsa.org).